

Recommendations on Allocations of Measure A for 201213

June 12, 2012

Measure A: Recommendations for 2012-13

Final Report on Expenditures and Revenues for 2011-12 and Annual Report from Measure A Oversight Committee for 2011-12 – Fall 2012

- 2011-12 is the first year of Measure A's seven year term.***
- Figures for expenditures and revenues for 2011-12 will be updated and reported after closing of the books in the fall of 2012.***
- Any funds not fully expended in a specific category for 2011-12 will be carried forward in that same category for 2012-13. Those figures will also be updated after closing of the books in the fall of 2012.***

Measure A: Recommendations for 2012-13

Staff recommends that allocations for 2012-13 be identical to those for 2011-12.

- Under the terms of Measure A, the Board is authorized to make changes in Measure A's allocations in the event of "severe fiscal emergency" or "changed funding conditions."***
- Staff recommends that no such changes be made for 2012-13 and that allocations for 2012-13 be identical in both % and dollar amount to those for 2011-12.***

Measure A: Recommendations for 2012-13

Staff recommends that allocations for 2012-13 be identical to those for 2011-12.

- ***Depending on where expenditures and revenues end up at closing of the books in the fall, it is possible that maintaining allocations for 2012-13 that are identical to 2011-12 in both % and in dollar amount may require a relatively small one-time shift of funds from the fund balance, as shown on one page summary at end of this presentation***
- ***For 2013-14 and subsequent years, staff intends to recommend that dollar amounts allocated for Measure A be budgeted as closely as possible to actuals, based on what we learn after closing of the books for this first year of Measure A, 2011-12***

Measure A: Recommendations for 2012-13

Future Years: 2013-14 through 2017-18

- *Legal Update: Measure A is legal.*

AUSD has prevailed in the legal challenge to Measure A (Nelco, Inc. et al v. Alameda Unified School District.).

In September 2011, Superior Court Judge Frank Roesch ruled that Measure A is legal under state law, rejecting all of the plaintiffs' claims.

Although the plaintiffs indicated last fall that they would appeal Judge Roesch's ruling in favor of the District, the plaintiffs have dropped that appeal and the deadline for appeal has now passed.